

Continuation of the Examination Into Alleged Election Frauds by Police Commissioner Charles C. Russell.

The examination of Police Commissioner Oliver Charles in reference to the action of the Police Commissioners in the appointment of election inspectors for the November election and the control of the police on election day was continued yesterday before Judge Donohue in Supreme court, chambers.

The proceedings were instituted under a provision of the charter at the instance of Mr. John Kelly and other members of the Tammany Hall General Committee.

Mr. Charles testified that at a meeting of the Commissioners on October 16 a complaint was made against an inspector named Goodrich by Mr. Wheeler, which was referred; a resolution was offered on November 10 that removals, resignations or absences on which appointments were made be filed with the general list of appointments; a resolution confirming the list of final appointments Commissioner Durfee moved to lay on the table, in favor of which Commissioners Durfee and Russell voted; the motion was lost, the other Commissioners voting in the negative. Mr. Charles, continuing, testified—“I believe that the names of inspectors appointed for election day were submitted to a majority of the Board; the Board was in perpetual session all day, and to whoever of the Commissioners were there the names were submitted; I don't remember consulting General Durfee or sending for him; he knew when the Board was in session; this meeting was held in Mr. Smith's room, and sometimes there would be only one there, sometimes two, sometimes four.

Q. Would one alone assume to act? A. I couldn't speak for others; only know that he would do himself; if he was absent it was his own fault, and he was not sent or unless his vote was wanted; I didn't send for Russell, who was not there, because I didn't want to see him and had no business with him.

Q. Don't you know that the list of inspectors for the city was not reported by the Election Committee until after the registration had been going on some days? A. I don't know; don't know that I have read the resolution of October 21 in reference to this subject; perhaps I have; don't know the days of registration.

Q. Is it a part of your duty as Police Commissioner to know the days prescribed for registration? Didn't you read the provisions of the law in answer to my question on a former day? A. I haven't room in my head for all the miserable trash that lawyers have to say to me; I probably it is a question of law, I propose to defer it; I could not state when the first day of registration was, nor whether it was before or after October 21.

Mr. Charles was examined minutely in reference to the order issued directing that the watchers stand outside the railing; he could say whether the watchers could be inside the railing or outside the railing; he had visited both on polling places; that was where he voted, and there the watchers could be inside the railing; he pointed to his statement on a previous day that he had visited a great many polling places he said he did not refer to that at the last election.

Q. In connection with the appointment of inspectors, did you state that you didn't recognize the rights of Tammany Hall to have any appointment? A. Right, how-by law, courtesy or some other process?

Q. The right to appoint in any way. A. I may have said something of the kind in some connection with the trouble over the appointment of inspectors; I declined. Mr. Charles, in conclusion, said he had no objection to the witnesses following the candidates in to watch the polls and to be in the way of the inspectors, who could attend to the work without interference.

Q. You asked if I gave my opinion as to the appointment of watchers a bad one, he made his favorite reply, that he would not undertake to pass upon questions of fact.

Q. The right to appoint in any way. A. I may have said something of the kind in some connection with the trouble over the appointment of inspectors; I declined. Mr. Charles, in conclusion, said he had no objection to the witnesses following the candidates in to watch the polls and to be in the way of the inspectors, who could attend to the work without interference.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

Q. Were you ever consulted in regard to the persons appointed inspectors? A. Not at all; nor Mr. Durfee, to my knowledge.

THE HATERS' FATAL QUARREL.

Coroner's Inquest in the Case of the Italian Tarchini and Baril—The Murderer and Suicide—“Considered Himself Swindled Out of All His Money.”

An inquest on the bodies of Antonio Baril and Pietro Tarchini, the two Italian haters found dead on Prince street on the morning of Tuesday last—Tarchini being murdered by Baril and the latter committing suicide—was held at the Eighth precinct station house yesterday morning by Coroner Woltman. None of the relatives of either deceased were in attendance.

WILLIAM GRAVER was the first witness called, and after stating that he lived at No. 33 King street, said that, in passing through Prince street on the morning of the 3d inst., about a quarter past seven o'clock, he saw two men near South Fifth avenue, with their faces turned toward Prince street, as if they had been walking in this direction; his attention was more particularly directed to them by hearing a pistol shot, when at the same instant Tarchini fell dead; before he could realize the situation the man who fired the shot and now known to him as Baril, seeming extremely nervous, placed the muzzle of the weapon to his right ear and shot himself; the witness was but two or three feet from the men at the time.

MARY KNAPP, a German woman, living at No. 139 Prince street, said that on the morning in question she was sitting in the basement, looking out of the window, awaiting the arrival of the milkman, when she saw two men come along about three feet from each other; suddenly the person called Baril took hold of Tarchini's arm, and turning him around drew a pistol and shot him, when he fell on the sidewalk and then rolled into the gutter; Tarchini was killed instantly; Baril then turned around and shot himself; the witness said that she first impression was that they were friends and were only “fooling.”

JOHN FLEMING, who resides in Brooklyn, and is engaged in the hat manufacturing business with parties at No. 28 Prince street, knew both men; Tarchini had been employed by him for some time, but he had been discharged; he had known Baril for eight months; about ten days ago he called at the office of witness and desired to obtain work; Baril could not speak English, but the conversation that ensued through an interpreter it was gathered that he “considered himself swindled out of all his money” by the remaining members of the firm, called Tarchini and Baril, who had been associated in business; at that time Baril appeared very nervous; the witness, thinking that he was being deceived, told him that he had advanced him \$100; in a statement which he left behind at one of his interviews it was ascertained that, out of \$240 put into the firm, he only received \$100; witness said that he had been told that Baril was a sober man and unmarried; he had a mother living in Rome, and the witness said that he had been unable to send her any money for a time greatly troubled him.

FRANCISCO SECARDI, late partner of the deceased, was the next witness. He resided at No. 139 Prince street, Brooklyn, and is now carrying on the business of hat manufacturing at No. 515 Broadway under the title of Secardi & Baril. He said that he had known Tarchini for three years; the witness and Baril began work together at Dunlap & Co.'s manufactory; started in business together on Fulton street, then on Spring street, and finally moved to the present place, where they began to thrive; had a partner named Thayer, whom Tarchini bought out for \$200; at the time of his death, he had advanced him \$100, and the witness \$100; after a while Baril began to neglect his business and draw a great deal of money from the concern, when witness learned that he had been swindled; he then commenced the ill-feeling and the enmity which he entertained for them; he retired from the firm at No. 515 Broadway, and the witness said that he could not be made to believe that he had not been swindled; two weeks ago the balance due him, about \$600, was paid him, and that was the last he saw of him; he never saw him; never knew him to carry a pistol.

DETTY CORONER NEVER testified that he had made a forensic examination of the deceased in the case of Tarchini; he found a pistol shot wound in the second left intercostal space close to the sternum; the ball was lodged in the lungs, and the ball tore the whole of the skull nearly off and lacerated the brain greatly.

The testimony being in, Coroner Woltman briefly referred to the case, when the jury, through the foreman, rendered verdicts in accordance with the testimony.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

Tarchini has left a widow and several children.

MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS.

Meeting of the Board of Aldermen—Nomination of George H. Andrews for Police Commissioner—Mayor Havemeyer on Cheap Transportation—Adjournment for Two Weeks.

All New York, especially the political portion thereof, have been agog for the very important action of Mayor Havemeyer and the Board of Aldermen in appointing a Police Commissioner to fill the vacancy caused by the death of Henry Smith.

The part of the lobby of the City Hall were literally packed with the members of the “establishment,” each one largely interested in the denouement. The out-of-holes of the members of the Council must have suffered severely by the persistent polling received from interviewers and solicitors of Aldermanic aid. The pressure on the Mayor must have been equally great, judging from the frequent and steady attendance of well-known politicians upon him since the vacancy occurred. But he seems to have withstood the attack bravely. He has never lost his good humor, and up to the moment when he sent in his nomination no one except his private secretary, Colonel Farrell, knew who was the favored candidate.

Promptly at half-past three o'clock Mr. S. B. H. Vance, the President, called the Board to order, every member being in his seat. Not only was the lobby packed, but the floor of the chamber was even invaded by prominent politicians. Captain James Leary with several policemen was on hand to keep order.

The minutes of the previous meeting and special session were read and approved. Alderman McCafferty presented a petition from the stonecutters of New York, Brooklyn and Jersey City against a reduction of wages by the Dock Corporation, and, after being read, was referred to the special committee on dock matters. Alderman McCafferty moved that the regular business be dispensed with, and that there is a message from His Honor the Mayor nominating a Police Commissioner in the place of Henry Smith in the possession of the President, it be taken up to print the same.

THE MAYOR'S CANDIDATE. The motion having prevailed, Clerk Pinckney read a message from the Mayor nominating Mr. George H. Andrews, one of the present Commissioners of Taxes, for Police Commissioner. During the reading of this important brief document every eye was turned to the Mayor. The numerous assembly awaited the announcement of the name, and when it fell from the lips of the Mayor, a loud cheer was raised around the chamber indicative of popular dissatisfaction. It was fully expected that General Jones' name would be sent in, consequently the nomination of Andrews, which was a wet blanket on the multitude present.

As soon as the message had been read, on motion of Mr. Andrews it was laid over to be printed in the minutes. AN OXGROSS OF STATESMEN hereupon took place, when Alderman Hillings proposed that the Mayor be asked to allow the “Police Department” to retire, which, being properly understood, created a laugh, but was not acted upon. On this point, the Mayor said that he was not an appearance wiser if he did not appear.

AN ADDRESSMENT FOR TWO WEEKS was moved by Alderman Monheim, which gave rise to a considerable discussion. The Mayor, being moved down with numerous amendments. The original resolution however prevailed at the end.

The Sailors' Snug Harbor Corporation transmitted their annual report, through the Mayor, to the Board, which was ordered printed and laid over. Alexander B. Clark was confirmed a City Marshal.

CHEAP TRANSPORTATION. Mayor Havemeyer, in a message to the Common Council yesterday, in which he again calls the attention of that body to the danger of a ruinous drain on the city treasury by the proposed dock bill, by the completion, which is now under way, of the enlarged canals of Canada, carrying vessels of more than 1,000 tons burden from Lake Erie to the Gulf of Mexico.

He said that the proposed bill, which would reduce the cost of transporting the agricultural products of the interior States on our State canals, would be a great evil. He said that the proposed bill would be a great evil. He said that the proposed bill would be a great evil.

He said that the proposed bill would be a great evil. He said that the proposed bill would be a great evil. He said that the proposed bill would be a great evil.

He said that the proposed bill would be a great evil. He said that the proposed bill would be a great evil. He said that the proposed bill would be a great evil.

He said that the proposed bill would be a great evil. He said that the proposed bill would be a great evil. He said that the proposed bill would be a great evil.

He said that the proposed bill would be a great evil. He said that the proposed bill would be a great evil. He said that the proposed bill would be a great evil.

MARRIAGES AND DEATHS.

Notations, not the faintest opposition was offered. There is no doubt of its passage by the Senate.

The afternoon session of the House was one of wild excitement. McPherson's charter for Jersey City, which was passed by the Senate on the previous day, was reported. Then followed great confusion. The democratic members, who were unprepared for a trial of strength, tried to stage off a vote by dilatory motions. The numbers stood twenty-five on each side. The doors were locked, and the House adjourned without a vote. The House adjourned without a vote.

McPherson's charter for Jersey City, which was passed by the Senate on the previous day, was reported. Then followed great confusion. The democratic members, who were unprepared for a trial of strength, tried to stage off a vote by dilatory motions. The numbers stood twenty-five on each side. The doors were locked, and the House adjourned without a vote. The House adjourned without a vote.

McPherson's charter for Jersey City, which was passed by the Senate on the previous day, was reported. Then followed great confusion. The democratic members, who were unprepared for a trial of strength, tried to stage off a vote by dilatory motions. The numbers stood twenty-five on each side. The doors were locked, and the House adjourned without a vote. The House adjourned without a vote.

McPherson's charter for Jersey City, which was passed by the Senate on the previous day, was reported. Then followed great confusion. The democratic members, who were unprepared for a trial of strength, tried to stage off a vote by dilatory motions. The numbers stood twenty-five on each side. The doors were locked, and the House adjourned without a vote. The House adjourned without a vote.

McPherson's charter for Jersey City, which was passed by the Senate on the previous day, was reported. Then followed great confusion. The democratic members, who were unprepared for a trial of strength, tried to stage off a vote by dilatory motions. The numbers stood twenty-five on each side. The doors were locked, and the House adjourned without a vote. The House adjourned without a vote.

McPherson's charter for Jersey City, which was passed by the Senate on the previous day, was reported. Then followed great confusion. The democratic members, who were unprepared for a trial of strength, tried to stage off a vote by dilatory motions. The numbers stood twenty-five on each side. The doors were locked, and the House adjourned without a vote. The House adjourned without a vote.

McPherson's charter for Jersey City, which was passed by the Senate on the previous day, was reported. Then followed great confusion. The democratic members, who were unprepared for a trial of strength, tried to stage off a vote by dilatory motions. The numbers stood twenty-five on each side. The doors were locked, and the House adjourned without a vote. The House adjourned without a vote.

McPherson's charter for Jersey City, which was passed by the Senate on the previous day, was reported. Then followed great confusion. The democratic members, who were unprepared for a trial of strength, tried to stage off a vote by dilatory motions. The numbers stood twenty-five on each side. The doors were locked, and the House adjourned without a vote. The House adjourned without a vote.

McPherson's charter for Jersey City, which was passed by the Senate on the previous day, was reported. Then followed great confusion. The democratic members, who were unprepared for a trial of strength, tried to stage off a vote by dilatory motions. The numbers stood twenty-five on each side. The doors were locked, and the House adjourned without a vote. The House adjourned without a vote.

McPherson's charter for Jersey City, which was passed by the Senate on the previous day, was reported. Then followed great confusion. The democratic members, who were unprepared for a trial of strength, tried to stage off a vote by dilatory motions. The numbers stood twenty-five on each side. The doors were locked, and the House adjourned without a vote. The House adjourned without a vote.

McPherson's charter for Jersey City, which was passed by the Senate on the previous day, was reported. Then followed great confusion. The democratic members, who were unprepared for a trial of strength, tried to stage off a vote by dilatory motions. The numbers stood twenty-five on each side. The doors were locked, and the House adjourned without a vote. The House adjourned without a vote.

McPherson's charter for Jersey City, which was passed by the Senate on the previous day, was reported. Then followed great confusion. The democratic members, who were unprepared for a trial of strength, tried to stage off a vote by dilatory motions. The numbers stood twenty-five on each side. The doors were locked, and the House adjourned without a vote. The House adjourned without a vote.

McPherson's charter for Jersey City, which was passed by the Senate on the previous day, was reported. Then followed great confusion. The democratic members, who were unprepared for a trial of strength, tried to stage off a vote by dilatory motions. The numbers stood twenty-five on each side. The doors were locked, and the House adjourned without a vote. The House adjourned without a vote.

QUINMAN—On Wednesday, March 4, Emma, daughter of Henry E. and Mary Quinman.

Funeral from the residence of her aunt, Mrs. John Field, No. 37 West Fifth street, on Saturday afternoon at two o'clock.

REED—In Hoboken, N. J., on Thursday, March 5, at his residence, No. 24 Third street, Mrs. MARIA REED, widow of James Reed, after a very short illness, aged 40 years.

The funeral will take place next Sunday, March 6, at two o'clock P. M., from the residence of Mr. Reed, No. 24 Third street, at two o'clock P. M.

REED—On Thursday, March 5, at the residence of his son, No. 408 Washington avenue, Brooklyn, the funeral of Mrs. MARIA REED, widow of James Reed, after a very short illness, aged 40 years.

REED—On Thursday, March 5, at the residence of his son, No. 408 Washington avenue, Brooklyn, the funeral of Mrs. MARIA REED, widow of James Reed, after a very short illness, aged 40 years.

REED—On Thursday, March 5, at the residence of his son, No. 408 Washington avenue, Brooklyn, the funeral of Mrs. MARIA REED, widow of James Reed, after a very short illness, aged 40 years.

REED—On Thursday, March 5, at the residence of his son, No. 408 Washington avenue, Brooklyn, the funeral of Mrs. MARIA REED, widow of James Reed, after a very short illness, aged 40 years.

REED—On Thursday, March 5, at the residence of his son, No. 408 Washington avenue, Brooklyn, the funeral of Mrs. MARIA REED, widow of James Reed, after a very short illness, aged 40 years.

REED—On Thursday, March 5, at the residence of his son, No. 408 Washington avenue, Brooklyn, the funeral of Mrs. MARIA REED, widow of James Reed, after a very short illness, aged 40 years.

REED—On Thursday, March 5, at the residence of his son, No. 408 Washington avenue, Brooklyn, the funeral of Mrs. MARIA REED, widow of James Reed, after a very short illness, aged 40 years.

REED—On Thursday, March 5, at the residence of his son, No. 408 Washington avenue, Brooklyn, the funeral of Mrs. MARIA REED, widow of James Reed, after a very short illness, aged 40 years.

REED—On Thursday, March 5, at the residence of his son, No. 408 Washington avenue, Brooklyn, the funeral of Mrs. MARIA REED, widow of James Reed, after a very short illness, aged 40 years.

REED—On Thursday, March 5, at the residence of his son, No. 408 Washington avenue, Brooklyn, the funeral of Mrs. MARIA REED, widow of James Reed, after a very short illness, aged 40 years.

REED—On Thursday, March 5, at the residence of his son, No. 408 Washington avenue, Brooklyn, the funeral of Mrs. MARIA REED, widow of James Reed, after a very short illness, aged 40 years.

BOARDS WANTED.

230 EAST 30TH STREET—IN PRIVATE FAMILY, good board and pleasant quarters for a married couple or single persons; price reasonable.

248 WEST 12TH STREET—\$2 TO \$5 A WEEK; good board, pleasant rooms; fire and water; every accommodation.

315 EAST 12TH STREET—PUNISHED—Rooms with or without board; every accommodation; gas and bath; newly fitted up; terms moderate.

343 EAST 4TH STREET—TO LET, WITH BOARD, a beautiful sunny front room, private family, at moderate price.

HARLEN—PLEASANTLY FURNISHED ROOMS TO LET, with board and pleasant quarters; price reasonable; two minutes from boat and cars. No. 187 East 12th st.

MADAME ROBINSON, FROM NEWPORT